



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,612	01/12/2004	Dale Knoop	2468	4786

28005 7590 09/30/2005

SPRINT
6391 SPRINT PARKWAY
KSOPHT0101-Z2100
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100

EXAMINER

RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2643

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/757,612

Applicant(s)

KNOOP, DALE

Examiner

Melur Ramakrishnaiah

Art Unit

2643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-6, 7-10, 11-14, 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Bekanich (US 2005/0043065, Provisional application No. 60/485, 128, filed on Jul 8, 2003).

Regarding claim 1, Bekanich discloses a method of tracking phone calls, comprising: detecting the completion of the call (paragraph: 0007), responsively prompting the user of a client station with (i) information about the call and (ii) prompt requesting the user to categorize the call, receiving from the user, in response to the prompt, a categorization of the call (paragraphs: 0019, 0030-0033), transmitting from the client station to a network server (fig. 4), via radio access network, a record of the call and categorization of the call (paragraphs: 0039-0042).

Regarding claim 7, Bekanich discloses a client station comprising: a wireless communication interface (12, fig. 2), a display (16, fig. 2), a user input mechanism (18, fig. 2), and program logic executable, in response to completion of call, (i) to present on the display information about the call and a prompt requesting the user to categorize the call, (ii) to then receive via user-input mechanism a categorization of the call, and (iii) to

Art Unit: 2643

thereafter send to a network server, via wireless communication interface, a record of the call and the categorization of the call (paragraphs: 0019, 0030-0033; paragraphs: 0039-0042 and fig. 4).

Regarding claims 11, 16, Bekanich discloses system comprising: a client station (figs. 1-2, 4), a network server (fig. 4) coupled to the client station, wherein client station comprises a wireless communication interface (12, fig. 2), a display (16, fig. 2), a user input mechanism (18, fig. 2), and a program logic executable, in response to completion of the call, (i) to present on the display information about the call and a prompt requesting a user to categorize the call, (ii) to then receive via user-input mechanism a categorization of the call, and (iii) to thereafter send to the network server (fig. 4), via the wireless communication interface, a record of the call and categorization of the call, and wherein network server comprises program logic executable to store the record of the call and categorization of the call (paragraphs: 0019, 0030-0033; paragraphs: 0039-0042 and fig. 4).

Regarding claims 2-6, 8-10, 12-14, 17-19, Bekanich further teaches the following: information about the call comprises call-duration information and participant information (paragraph: 0030), prompt requesting the user to categorize the call as a business or personal call, categorization is selected from the group consisting of business or personal, (reads on billable or non-billable call, paragraph:0032 – 0033), record of the call includes the categorization of the call (paragraph:0032), transmitting from the client station to a network server, via radio access network, a record of the call and categorization of call comprises: upon completion of the call, automatically

Art Unit: 2643

transmitting from the client station to the network server, via radio access network, the record of the call including a record ID, and after transmitting one or more records of calls including the record ID of each call, transmitting from the client station to a network server, via radio access network, the record ID and categorization of the call (paragraph: 0039-0043 and fig. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beganich in view of Henderson (EP1028578 A2).

Beganich differs from claim 17 in that although he discloses categorization of calls and displaying (paragraph: 0043); he does not teach the following: the second client station comprising a display and program logic executable to present on the display one or more calls.

However, Henderson discloses methods and apparatus for remotely accessing call origination information that teaches the following: the second client station (2, figs. 1-2) comprising a display and program logic executable to present on the display one or more calls (paragraph: 0025).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Beganich's system to provide for the following: the


Art Unit: 2643

second client station comprising a display and program logic executable to present on the display one or more calls as this arrangement would provide means to access call log information through web browser remotely as taught by Henderson, thus user can access this information remotely.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Melur Ramakrishnaiah
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2643